

**Qualifying Exam, Differential Geometry**  
**Fall 2020**

**F.Q1.** Consider the map

$$f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad f(z, w) = (|z|^2 + |w|^2, 2|z|^2 - 3|w|^2).$$

Prove that pre-image  $f^{-1}(1, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a smooth, compact, 2-dimensional manifold.

**F.Q1 Sol.** Use real coordinates  $(x, y, u, v)$  where  $z = x + iy$  and  $w = u + iv$ , the Jacobian of map

$$f(x, y, u, v) = (x^2 + y^2 + u^2 + v^2, 2x^2 + 2y^2 - 3u^2 - 3v^2)$$

is given by

$$Df = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & 2y & 2u & 2v \\ 4x & 4y & -6u & -6v \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $Df$  has rank  $\leq 1$  on  $f^{-1}(1, 0)$  we would have

$$-20xu = 0, \quad -20xv = 0, \quad -20yu = 0, \quad -20yv = 0,$$

where the first equality is the determinant of the submatrix formed by the first and third columns. This implies  $(x^2 + y^2)(u^2 + v^2) = 0$ , which contradicts with  $x^2 + y^2 + u^2 + v^2 = 1$ . Hence  $Df$  has rank 2 on  $f^{-1}(1, 0)$ , and by the transversality theorem we conclude that  $f^{-1}(1, 0)$  is a smooth 2-dimensional manifold. The compactness of  $f^{-1}(1, 0)$  follows from that  $f^{-1}(1, 0)$  is a subset of  $S^3(1)$ .  $\square$

**F.Q2.** Let  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \equiv S^2 / \sim$  be the real projective space. Let  $f : \mathbb{RP}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a map defined by

$$f([x, y, z]) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} (yz, zx, xy).$$

(F.Q2a) Show that  $f$  is smooth.

(F.Q2b) Find a point in  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  to show that  $f$  is not an immersion.

**F.Q2 Sol.** (F.Q2a) We may cover  $S^2$  (and hence for  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ ) by  $S_{x,+} = \{(x, y, z), x > 0, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$  and 5 others similarly defined. Then  $\varphi_{x,+} : S_{x,+} \rightarrow (y, z)$  and 5 others defines a smooth coordinate atlas on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ .

To see that  $f$  is smooth it is sufficient to check

$$f \circ \varphi_{x,+}^{-1}(y, z) = (yz, z\sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2}, y\sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2})$$

is smooth on  $\{(y, z), y^2 + z^2 < 1\}$ , and 5 others is smooth also. This is clearly true for  $f \circ \varphi_{x,+}^{-1}$  and for 5 others by symmetry.

(F.Q2b) At point  $(y, z) = (0, 0)$  we compute the Jacobian of  $f \circ \varphi_{x,+}^{-1}$  and find it is a zero matrix. Hence  $f$  can not be an immersion.  $\square$

**F.Q3.** Let  $F : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  be a smooth map between spheres.

(F.Q3a) Show that there exist a smooth 2-form  $\omega$  on  $S^2$  such that  $\int_{S^2} \omega = 1$ , and a smooth 1-form  $\eta$  on  $S^3$  such that  $F^*\omega = d\eta$ .

(F.Q3b) Let  $\tilde{\omega}$  be another smooth 2-form on  $S^2$  satisfying  $\int_{S^2} \tilde{\omega} = 1$ . Show that there is a smooth 1-form  $\tau$  on  $S^2$  such that  $\tilde{\eta} \doteq \eta + F^*\tau$  satisfies  $F^*\tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{\eta}$ .

(F.Q3c) Show that

$$\int_{S^3} \eta \wedge d\eta = \int_{S^3} \tilde{\eta} \wedge d\tilde{\eta}.$$

**F.Q3 Sol.** (F.Q3a) Choose local coordinate chart with  $S_{x,+} = \{(x, y, z), x > 0, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$  and  $\varphi_{x,+} : S_{x,+} \rightarrow (y, z)$ . Let  $\rho$  be a cutoff function supported on  $S_{x,+}$ . Then  $\rho dy \wedge dz$  is a smooth 2-form on  $S^2$  with  $\int_{S^2} \rho dy \wedge dz > 0$ . We may choose

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\int_{S^2} \rho dy \wedge dz} \cdot \rho dy \wedge dz.$$

Note that  $d\omega = 0$ .

Note that  $dF^*\omega = F^*d\omega = F^*0 = 0$  and cohomology class  $[F^*\omega] \in H_{\text{DeRh}}^2(S^3) = 0$ , hence there is a smooth 1-form  $\eta$  on  $S^3$  such that  $F^*\omega = d\eta$ .

(F.Q3b) Since as cohomology class  $[\omega] = [\tilde{\omega}] \in H_{\text{DeRh}}^2(S^2) = \mathbb{R}$ , there is a smooth 1-form  $\tau$  on  $S^2$  such that  $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + d\tau$ . Define  $\tilde{\eta} = \eta + F^*\tau$ , we verify

$$F^*\tilde{\omega} = F^*(\omega + d\tau) = d\eta + dF^*\tau = d\tilde{\eta}.$$

(F.Q3c) Note that

$$\eta \wedge dF^*\tau = -d(\eta \wedge F^*\tau) + d\eta \wedge F^*\tau$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^3} \tilde{\eta} \wedge d\tilde{\eta} - \int_{S^3} \eta \wedge d\eta \\ &= \int_{S^3} \eta \wedge dF^*\tau + F^*\tau \wedge d\eta + F^*\tau \wedge dF^*\tau \\ &= \int_{S^3} -d(\eta \wedge F^*\tau) + 2d\eta \wedge F^*\tau + F^*\tau \wedge dF^*\tau \\ &= \int_{S^3} 2F^*\omega \wedge F^*\tau + F^*\tau \wedge dF^*\tau \quad \text{by Stokes theorem} \\ &= \int_{S^3} F^*(2\omega \wedge \tau + \tau \wedge d\tau) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to  $0 = 2\omega \wedge \tau + \tau \wedge d\tau \in \Omega^3(S^2)$ .  $\square$

**F.Q4.** Let  $M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a compact, connected, 3-dimensional smooth submanifold/domain with boundary  $\partial M$  which has the induced (Stokes) orientation. For any point  $p_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , define the translated submanifold

$$M_{p_0} \doteq \{(x + x_0, y + y_0, z + z_0), (x, y, z) \in M\}.$$

Compute the limit

$$\lim_{p_0 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial M_{p_0}} \omega$$

where  $\omega$  is the 2-form

$$\omega = \frac{xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}.$$

**F.Q4 Sol.** First we show  $d\omega = 0$  by computing

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \frac{dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + dz \wedge dx \wedge dy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{3(xdx + ydy + zdz)}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{5/2}} \wedge (xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $p_0$  far away from origin  $\vec{0}$  we have  $\vec{0} \notin M_{p_0}$ . Since  $\omega$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial M_{p_0}} \omega = \int_{M_{p_0}} d\omega = 0$$

by Stokes theorem. The limit follows.  $\square$

**F.Q5.** Consider two Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $u^2 \cdot g$  on some smooth manifold  $M^n$ , where  $u$  is a smooth positive function on  $M$ . Let  $(U, \varphi, x)$  be a local coordinate chart on  $M$ . Compute the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma(u^2 \cdot g)_{ij}^k$  of Riemannian connection associated to metric  $u^2 \cdot g$  in terms of  $u$  (along with its derivatives) and the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma(g)_{ij}^k$ .

**F.Q5 Sol.** We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(u^2 \cdot g)_{ij}^k &= \frac{1}{2} (u^2 \cdot g)^{kl} (\partial_i (u^2 \cdot g)_{jl} + \partial_j (u^2 \cdot g)_{il} - \partial_l (u^2 \cdot g)_{ij}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} u^{-2} \cdot g^{kl} (u^2 \partial_i g_{jl} + u^2 \partial_j g_{il} - u^2 \partial_l g_{ij} + 2uu_i g_{jl} + 2uu_j g_{il} - 2uu_l g_{ij}) \\ &= \Gamma(g)_{ij}^k + \frac{1}{u} \delta_j^k u_i + \frac{1}{u} \delta_i^k u_j - \frac{1}{u} g^{kl} g_{ij} u_l, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_i = \partial_i u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}$ .  $\square$

**F.Q6.** Consider parametrized surface  $\Sigma^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$x = 3u - u^3 + 3uv^2, \quad y = -3v + v^3 - 3u^2v, \quad z = 3u^2 - 3v^2,$$

where  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that its first fundamental form  $I$  (induced metric) is rotationally symmetric. I.e., there is a change of coordinates  $(u, v) \rightarrow (w, \theta)$  such that

$$I = h(w)^2 dw^2 + k(w)^2 d\theta^2,$$

where  $h(w)$  and  $k(w)$  are two positive functions of  $w$ .

**F.Q6 Sol.** We compute

$$\begin{aligned} I &= ((3 - 3u^2 + 3v^2)du + 6uvdv)^2 + (-6uvdu + (-3 + 3v^2 - 3u^2)dv)^2 + (6udu - 6v dv)^2 \\ &= 9(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 du^2 + 9(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2 dv^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u = w \cos \theta$  and  $v = w \sin \theta$ , then

$$I = 9(1 + w^2)^2(dw^2 + w^2 d\theta^2),$$

which is rotationally symmetric.  $\square$

**F.Q7.** Suppose the metric on some surface  $\Sigma^2$  is given by

$$ds^2 = du^2 + 2 \cos f(u, v) du dv + dv^2,$$

where  $f$  is a function of  $(u, v)$  taking value in  $(-1, 1)$ . Show that the Gauss curvature

$$K = -\frac{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial v}}{\sin f}.$$

**F.Q7 Sol.** From the following formula for Gauss curvature

$$K = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}E_{vv} + F_{uv} - \frac{1}{2}G_{uu} & \frac{1}{2}E_u & F_u - \frac{1}{2}E_v \\ F_v - \frac{1}{2}G_u & E & F \\ \frac{1}{2}G_v & F & G \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}E_v & \frac{1}{2}G_u \\ \frac{1}{2}E_v & E & F \\ \frac{1}{2}G_u & F & G \end{vmatrix}}{(EG - F^2)^2}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -\cos f \cdot f_u f_v - \sin f \cdot f_{uv} & 0 & -\sin f \cdot f_u \\ -\sin f \cdot f_v & 1 & \cos f \\ 0 & \cos f & 1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cos f \\ 0 & \cos f & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{(1 - \cos^2 f)^2} \\ &= \frac{(-\cos f \cdot f_u f_v - \sin f \cdot f_{uv}) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cos f \\ \cos f & 1 \end{vmatrix} + \sin f \cdot f_v \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -\sin f \cdot f_u \\ \cos f & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{\sin^4 f} \\ &= -\frac{f_{uv}}{\sin f}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**F.Q8.** Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold. Let  $N^k$  and  $W^l$  be two embedded submanifolds of  $M$  with no boundary,  $1 \leq k, l \leq n - 1$ . Assume (i)  $N$  is compact, (ii)  $W$  is a closed subset (not necessarily compact), and (iii)  $N \cap W = \emptyset$ .

(F.Q8a) Prove that there exists a minimal geodesic  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) \in N$  and  $\gamma(1) \in W$  such that length

$$L(\gamma) = \inf_{p \in N \text{ and } q \in W} d_M(p, q),$$

where  $d_M$  is the distance function on  $M$  induced from metric  $g$ .

(F.Q8b) Prove that the geodesic  $\gamma$  in part (F.Q8a) is perpendicular to  $N$  at  $t = 0$ , and to  $W$  at  $t = 1$ .

**F.Q8 Sol.** (F.Q8a) Let  $\{(p_i, q_i)\}$  be a sequence of point pairs in  $N \times W$  such that

$$d_M(p_i, q_i) \rightarrow \inf_{p \in N \text{ and } q \in W} d_M(p, q) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $N$  is compact, there is a subsequence (still indexed by  $i$ ) such that  $p_i \rightarrow p_\infty \in N$ . Hence the corresponding sequence  $\{q_i\}$  is in a bounded neighborhood of  $p_\infty$ . Since  $M$  is complete, by taking another subsequence we may assume  $q_i \rightarrow q_\infty$ . Since  $W$  is closed we have  $q_\infty \in W$ , hence

$$0 < d_M(p_\infty, q_\infty) = \inf_{p \in N \text{ and } q \in W} d_M(p, q)$$

We may take  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  to be a minimal geodesic joining  $p_\infty$  and  $q_\infty$ .

(F.Q8b) We prove that  $\gamma'(1)$  is perpendicular to  $T_{q_\infty}W$  by contradiction. Suppose vector  $V_* \in T_{q_\infty}W$  satisfies  $g(V_*, \gamma'(1)) < 0$ . We may choose a smooth vector field  $V(t)$  along  $\gamma(t)$  such that  $V(0) = 0$  and  $V(1) = V_*$ . Let  $\gamma_s$ ,  $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ , be a variation of  $\gamma$  with variational field  $V(t)$ .

By the first variation formula of length functional around a minimal geodesic we have

$$0 \leq \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} L(\gamma_s) = g(V(1), \gamma'(1)) - g(V(0), \gamma'(0)) = g(V_*, \gamma'(1)) < 0,$$

which is the required contradiction.

The perpendicular property at  $t = 0$  can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**F.Q9.** Consider torus  $T^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ . Below we view each  $S^1$  as  $[-\pi, \pi]$  with  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$  identified.

(F.Q9a) Does it admit a metric with positive Ricci curvature everywhere?

(F.Q9b) If not above, construct (not-necessarily in a closed formula) a smooth metric  $g$  on  $T^3$  such that all the sectional curvature is  $+1$  on the following sub-domain

$$(-\pi/4, \pi/4) \times (-\pi/4, \pi/4) \times (-\pi/4, \pi/4) \subset T^3.$$

**F.Q9 Sol.** (F.Q9a) No. To see this by contradiction, we assume that the Ricci curvature is positive on  $T^3$ . Since  $T^3$  is compact, we may assume that Ricci curvature has a constant lower bound  $k > 0$ . By the Myers theorem the universal covering space of  $T^3$  should be compact, which is a contradiction.

(F.Q9b) We may choose a diffeomorphism  $\psi : (-\pi/3, \pi/3) \times (-\pi/3, \pi/3) \times (-\pi/3, \pi/3) \subset T^3$  to an open set in sphere  $S^3$  of radius 1 with standard metric  $g_{sph}$ . Let  $\rho$  be a smooth cutoff function which equals to 1 on  $(-\pi/4, \pi/4) \times (-\pi/4, \pi/4) \times (-\pi/4, \pi/4)$  and has support inside  $(-\pi/3, \pi/3) \times (-\pi/3, \pi/3) \times (-\pi/3, \pi/3)$ .

Let  $g_0$  be an arbitrary Riemannian metric on  $T^3$ , then it is easy to see

$$\rho\psi^*g_{sph} + (1 - \rho)g_0$$

is the required metric  $g$ .  $\square$

**F.Q10.** Let  $G^n$  be a Lie group of dimension  $n$ , with identity element  $e$ . Recall that multiplication from the left by an element  $h \in G$  defines a diffeomorphism  $L_h : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $L_h(g) = h \cdot g$ . A differential form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $G$  is said to be **left invariant** if it satisfies the condition  $(L_h)^*\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}$  for all  $h \in G$ .

(F.Q10a) Prove that any covector  $v^* \in T_e^*G$  uniquely extends to a smooth, left-invariant 1-form  $\omega$  on  $G$ .

(F.Q10b) Use the result of (F.Q10a) to prove that there exist  $n$  pointwise independent, left-invariant 1-forms  $\omega^k$  on  $G$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

(F.Q10c) Prove that there exist constants  $c_{ij}^k$ , such that for each  $\omega^k$  in (F.Q10b)

$$d\omega^k = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{ij}^k \cdot \omega^i \wedge \omega^j.$$

**F.Q10 Sol.** (F.Q10a) We define  $\omega$  by  $\omega(g) = (L_{g^{-1}})^*v^* \in T_g^*G$  for each  $g \in G$ . From the definition of Lie group, operator  $(L_{g^{-1}})^*$  is smooth in  $g$ , hence  $\omega$  is a smooth 1-form on  $G$ .

To see that  $\omega$  is left invariant, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} ((L_h)^*\omega)(g) &= (L_h)^*(\omega(hg)) = (L_h)^* \circ (L_{(hg)^{-1}})^*v^* = (L_{(hg)^{-1}} \circ L_h)^*v^* \\ &= (L_{(hg)^{-1} \cdot h})^*v^* = (L_{g^{-1}})^*v^* = \omega(g). \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness is obvious.

(F.Q10b) Let  $\{e_i^*\}_{i=1}^n$  be a coframe in  $T_e^*G$ . Then from (F.Q10a) they define left-invariant 1-forms  $\{\omega^k\}_{k=1}^n$ . Since  $(L_{g^{-1}})^* : T_e^*G \rightarrow T_g^*G$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces, we conclude that  $\omega^1(g) = (L_{g^{-1}})^*e_1^*, \dots, \omega^n(g) = (L_{g^{-1}})^*e_n^*$  are linearly independent.

(F.Q10c) From (F.Q10b) we know that  $\omega^i(g) \wedge \omega^j(g)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  form a basis of  $\Lambda^2 T_g G$  for each  $g \in G$ . Hence there are functions  $c_{ij}^k(g)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^k(g) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{ij}^k(g) \cdot \omega^i(g) \wedge \omega^j(g), \\ d\omega^k(hg) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{ij}^k(hg) \cdot \omega^i(hg) \wedge \omega^j(hg), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for all  $g, h \in G$ . Applying  $L_h^*$  to the second equation above we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(L_h^*\omega^k(hg)) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{ij}^k(hg) \cdot L_h^*\omega^i(hg) \wedge L_h^*\omega^j(hg) \\ d\omega^k(g) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{ij}^k(hg) \cdot \omega^i(g) \wedge \omega^j(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (1) we conclude  $c_{ij}^k(hg) = c_{ij}^k(g)$ , i.e.,  $c_{ij}^k$  is a constant function.  $\square$