

ALGEBRA QUALIFYING EXAM, WINTER 2017.  
SOLUTIONS

Your Name:

**Conventions:** all rings and algebras are assumed to be unital.

**Part I. True or false? If true provide a brief explanation, if false provide a counterexample (10 points each):**

1. Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  be categories and  $A_1, A_2$  be objects of  $\mathbf{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  are isomorphic functors and  $f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(A_1, A_2)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \mathcal{F}(g)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G}(f) = \mathcal{G}(g)$ .

*True.* Let  $\alpha$  be an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}f = \alpha_{A_2} \circ \mathcal{F}f \circ \alpha_{A_1}^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{G}g = \alpha_{A_2} \circ \mathcal{F}g \circ \alpha_{A_1}^{-1}$ , so  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \mathcal{F}(g)$  implies  $\mathcal{G}(f) = \mathcal{G}(g)$ , and the converse is similar.

2. If  $A$  is a finite dimensional commutative algebra over a field then all irreducible  $A$ -modules are 1-dimensional.

*False.*  $\mathbb{Q}C_3$  has 2-dimensional irreducible module. Or regular module over the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}$ .

3. Let  $A \supseteq R$  be an integral ring extension, and assume that  $A$  is a domain. If every non-zero prime ideal of  $R$  is a maximal ideal, then every non-zero prime ideal of  $A$  is also maximal.

*True.* By the Incomparability Theorem, the only prime ideal of  $A$  lying over  $0$  is  $0$ . Now it follows from the Maximality Theorem that if  $P$  is a non-zero prime ideal of  $A$ , then  $P$  is maximal, since  $P \cap R$  is non-zero prime.

4. If  $\beta$  is algebraic over  $F(\alpha)$  and  $\beta$  is transcendental over  $F$  then  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F(\beta)$ .

*True.* By the Main Criterion, if  $\alpha$  was transcendental over  $F(\beta)$ , then  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  would be algebraically independent. But then  $\beta$  would be transcendental over  $F(\alpha)$ .

Second solution: There is a polynomial  $f \in F(\alpha)[x]$  with  $f(\beta) = 0$ . Any  $a \in F(\alpha)$  can be written as  $g(\alpha)/h(\alpha)$ . Clearing denominators from the coefficients in  $f$ , we get a polynomial  $g \in F[x, y]$  such that  $f(\beta, \alpha) = 0$ . This gives a polynomial  $g \in F[\beta][x]$  with  $g(\alpha) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $g \neq 0$  since the coefficients cannot all be zero because  $\beta$  is transcendental over  $F$ .

5.  $\mathbb{Q}[x, x^{-1}]$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -module.

*False.* Consider  $\mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  which is evaluation at 1, and  $\mathbb{Q}[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  which is also evaluation at 1. It is easy to see that there is no  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -module map  $\mathbb{Q}[x, x^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]$  which makes the diagram commutative, since the image of 1 would have to be divisible by any  $x^n$ .

**Part II. Prove the following statements (10 points each):**

1. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be irreducible  $R$ -modules. Suppose there exist non-zero elements  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$  such that  $(v, w)$  generates a proper submodule of  $V \oplus W$ . Then  $V \cong W$ .

*Solution.* Let  $U$  be the submodule generated by  $(v, w)$ . Consider the the projections  $\pi_V : V \oplus W \rightarrow V$  and  $\pi_W : V \oplus W \rightarrow W$  along the direct sum. Note that  $\text{im}(\pi_V|_U) = V$  since the image contains  $v$  which generates  $V$  as  $V$  is irreducible. Similarly  $\text{im}(\pi_W|_U) = W$ . Now, if  $V \not\cong W$ , then  $U$  has both  $V$  and  $W$  as composition factors, and so  $U = V \oplus W$  by the Jördan-Holder Theorem.

2. Let  $V$  be a 7-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(1) How many similarity classes of linear transformations on  $V$  have characteristic polynomial  $(x - 1)^4(x - 2)^3$ ?

(2) Of the similarity classes in (a), how many have minimal polynomial  $(x - 1)^2(x - 2)^2$ ?

(1) Partitions of 4:  $4, 3 + 1, 2 + 2, 2 + 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$ , 5 in total. Partitions of 3:  $3, 2 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1$ , 3 in total. So there are 15 similarity classes of such linear transformations.

(2) Need biggest Jordan blocks of size 2. So just partitions  $2 + 2$  or  $2 + 1 + 1$  for eigenvalue 1 and  $2 + 1$  for eigenvalue 2, giving just 2 classes in total.

3. Let  $R$  be a domain and  $F$  be its field of fractions. Prove that  $F$  is an injective  $R$ -module.

*Solution.* Let  $L$  be an ideal in  $R$ , and  $\varphi : L \rightarrow F$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism. We may assume that  $L \neq 0$ . Pick any  $r \in L \setminus \{0\}$  and extend  $\varphi$  to  $R$  by sending  $s \in R$  to  $s\varphi(r)/r \in F$ . To see that the map is well-defined, note that  $f(r_1r_2) = r_1f(r_2) = r_2f(r_1)$  implies that  $f(r_1)/r_1 = f(r_2)/r_2$ . It is easy to see that the map is an  $R$ -module map extending  $\varphi$ . It remains to apply Baer's Criterion.



4.  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$  as rings.

*Solution.* Define a map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}) \mapsto \frac{ab}{bd}$ . It is balanced, hence it induces a map  $f : \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . It is clear that  $f$  is a ring homomorphism. To prove it is an isomorphism, we write down a two-sided inverse map:  $g : \frac{a}{b} \mapsto 1 \otimes \frac{a}{b}$ . Clearly  $f \circ g$  is the identity. To prove that  $g \circ f$  is the identity, consider pure tensor  $\frac{a}{b} \otimes \frac{c}{d} = b \frac{a}{b} \otimes \frac{c}{bd} = a \otimes \frac{c}{bd} = 1 \otimes \frac{ac}{bd}$ . It follows that any element of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  (not just pure tensors) can be written as  $1 \otimes \frac{a}{b}$ . Now on such an element it is clear that  $g \circ f$  is the identity.

5. Working over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$ , prove that the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  and  $\mathbb{A}^1$  are isomorphic (as algebraic sets) if and only if  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 2$ .

*Solution.* If  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 2$ , we have  $x^2 + y^2 - 1 = (x + y + 1)^2$ , and the result is clear. Let  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ . Since  $x^2 + y^2 - 1$  is then irreducible, we have that  $\mathbb{F}[S^1] = \mathbb{F}[X, Y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$ , where  $S^1 = \mathcal{V}(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$ . So we just need to prove that  $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}[T]$ .

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[T]$  be an isomorphism. Then the images of  $x$  and  $y$  are polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f^2 + g^2 = 1$ . So  $(f + ig)(f - ig) = 1$  where  $i^2 = -1$ . This shows that both polynomials  $f + ig$  and  $f - ig$  are constant.

*Second solution.* Assume that  $\varphi : \mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[T]$  is an isomorphism. Note that every element of  $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$  can be written as  $\alpha(\bar{x}) + \bar{y}\beta(\bar{x})$  for unique polynomials  $\alpha, \beta$ , where  $\bar{x} := x + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)$  and  $\bar{y} := y + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)$ . This shows in particular that  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are irreducible elements of the UFD isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}[T]$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $\varphi(\bar{x}) = aT + b$  and  $\varphi(\bar{y}) = cT + d$  with  $a, c \neq 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} (cT + d)^2 &= \varphi(\bar{y})^2 = \varphi(\bar{y}^2) = \varphi(1 - \bar{x}^2) \\ &= 1 - (aT + b)^2 = (1 - aT - b)(1 + aT + b). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see using that  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$  that the polynomial in the right hand side has two distinct roots, which leads to a contradiction.

*Third solution.* Let  $S$  be the circle and

$$t \mapsto (f(t), g(t)), \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$$

be an isomorphism. Let  $a, b, c, d$  be the points which are mapped to  $(0, -1), (0, 1), (1, 0), (-1, 0)$ , respectively. Then  $f$  has only two roots, namely  $a$  and  $B$ , and similarly for  $g$ , so we can write  $f(t) = r(t - a)(t - b)$  for some scalar  $r$  and  $g(t) = s(t - c)(t - d)$  for some scalar  $s$ . Moreover, we also get

$$s(a - c)(a - d) = -1, \quad s(b - c)(b - d) = 1, \quad r(c - a)(-b) = 1, \quad r(d - a)(d - b) = -1.$$

Comparing the first with the third and the second with the fourth equations gives

$$s(a - d) = r(c - b), \quad s(c - b) = r(a - d),$$

whence  $r^2 = s^2$ . So  $r^2 = s^2$ . On the other hand for  $f(t)^2 + g(t)^2 = 1$  only if  $r^2 + s^2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction unless  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 2$ . If the characteristic is 2, then the two are clearly isomorphic, since the 'circle' becomes a line  $x + y = 1$ .