

2. POSSIBLE QUALS PROBLEMS FROM MATH 638/9 FOR WINTER 04

prob2.1 **Problem 2.1.** Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . Give V an inner-product of signature $(1, 2)$ by setting

$$(e_1, e_1) = (e_2, e_2) = +1, (e_3, e_3) = -1, (e_i, e_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Give the pseudosphere $S = \{v = xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3 \in V : (v, v) = -1 \text{ and } z > 0\}$ the induced pseudo-Riemannian metric. Show that S is a complete Riemannian manifold with constant non-positive scalar curvature. Justify carefully all the steps in your calculation and if you use any theorems, state them carefully.

prob2.2 **Problem 2.2.** The following is a well known theorem in Differential geometry:

thm2 **Theorem 2.** Let f_1 and f_2 be smooth maps from $M \rightarrow N$ which are homotopic. Then $f_1^* = f_2^*$ acting on the DeRham cohomology groups.

An essential ingredient in the proof of this result was the following

lem2 **Lemma 2.** Let M be a smooth manifold. Let X be a smooth non-vanishing vector field on M and let ω be a smooth p form on M . Let $\mathcal{L}_X \omega$ be the Lie Derivative of X and let $\text{int}(X)$ be interior multiplication by X . Then $\mathcal{L}_X \phi = d \text{int}(X)\phi + \text{int}(X)d\phi$.

Define \mathcal{L}_X and $\text{int}(X)$. Give a careful proof of Lemma 2. Then use Lemma 2 to prove Theorem 2.

prob2.3 **Problem 2.3.** Let $F(\theta, \phi) := (2 \cos \theta \sin \phi, 2 \sin \theta \sin \phi, 2 \cos \phi)$ parametrize the sphere of radius 2 in \mathbb{R}^3 . Compute the second fundamental form of this surface and use this computation to determine the scalar curvature.

prob2.4 **Problem 2.4.** Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold.

- (1) Give a careful statement of a theorem in differential geometry that discusses various notions of 'completeness'.
- (2) Prove or disprove the following assertion: "Suppose that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and that any two points P, Q in M can be joined by a geodesic σ of length equal to $d(P, Q)$. Then M is complete."

prob2.5 **Problem 2.5.** Show that S^3 does not admit a metric of constant sectional curvature -1 .

prob2.6 **Problem 2.6.** Let S^2 be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $\sigma(t) = (\cos t, \sin t, 0)$ be a unit speed geodesic on S^2 . Let Y be a Jacobi field along σ so that $Y(0) = (0, 1, 0)$ and $Y(\frac{\pi}{2}) = (2, 0, 1)$. Determine $Y(\frac{\pi}{4})$.

prob2.7 **Problem 2.7.** Let \mathfrak{g}_ℓ be the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on a Lie group G and let \mathfrak{g}_ℓ^* be the set of left invariant 1 forms on S^3 . Let

$$H_\ell^p(G) := \frac{\ker\{d : \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^*)\}}{\text{image}\{d : \Lambda^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^*)\}}$$

be the left invariant de Rham cohomology groups.

- (1) Determine $H_\ell^p(S^3)$ for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Cite carefully any results that you use.
- (2) Cite a theorem which relates these invariant de Rham cohomology groups to the ordinary de Rham cohomology groups.

Solution Problem 2.1 Let $O(1, 2)$ be the group that preserves this inner product; $O(1, 2)$ then acts by isometries on $S \cup -S$. We can parametrize a point of S in the form $T(\theta, \phi) := (\cos \theta \sinh \phi, \sin \theta \sinh \phi, \cosh \phi)$. Setting then

$$g(\theta\phi) := \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \cosh \phi & \cos \theta \sinh \phi \\ \cos \theta & \sin \theta \cosh \phi & \sin \theta \sinh \phi \\ 0 & \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

then defines an element of $O(1, 2)$ so $g(\theta, \phi)e_3 = T(\theta, \phi)$. Thus $O(1, 2)$ acts transitively on S by isometries. The matrix $\text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$ interchanges the two components. Thus S is a homogeneous space. We showed in class that any homogeneous space is complete and has constant scalar curvature. If S had positive scalar curvature, then S would be compact. It is not. Discussed in class.

Solution Problem 2.2: Let ϕ_t^X be the flow – this is defined in a neighborhood of $M \times \{0\}$ in $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\phi_t^X)^* \omega - \omega}{t}$. Since ω is a p form, it is a totally anti-symmetric bilinear form. Thus we may define a totally anti-symmetric $p-1$ form $\text{int}(X)\omega$ by setting $\text{int}(X)\omega[X_2, \dots, X_p] = \omega(X, X_2, \dots, X_p)$. As X is non-vanishing, we may choose local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ so that $X = \partial_1^x$ and $\Phi_t^X : P \rightarrow P + te_1$ where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ be a collection of indices with $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq m$ and let $dy^I = dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p}$. We may expand $\omega = \alpha_I dy^I + \beta_J dx^1 \wedge dy^J$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \omega &= \partial_1^x \alpha_I dy^I + \partial_1^x \beta_J dx^1 \wedge dy^J, \\ \text{int}(X)\omega &= \beta_J dy^J, \\ d \text{int}(X)\omega &= \partial_1 \beta_J dx^1 \wedge dy^J + \sum_{k>1} \partial_k^x \beta_J dx^k \wedge dy^J, \\ d\omega &= \partial_1^x \alpha_I dx^1 \wedge dy^I + \sum_{k>1} \partial_k^x \alpha_I dx^k \wedge dy^I - \sum_{k>1} \partial_k^x \beta_J dx^1 \wedge dy^k \wedge dy^J, \\ \text{int}(X)d\omega &= \partial_1^x \alpha_I dy^I - \sum_{k>1} \partial_k^x \beta_J \wedge dy^J. \end{aligned}$$

from which the desired formula follows.

Let ω be a closed p form on N . Then using the naturality of the exterior derivative, we may compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^* \omega - \phi_0^* \omega &= \int_{t=0}^1 i_t^* \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} \Phi^* \omega dt = \int_{t=0}^1 i_t^* \{d \text{int}(\partial_t) + \text{int}(\partial_t)d\} \Phi^* \omega dt \\ &= d \int_{t=0}^1 i_t^* \text{int}(\partial_t) \Phi^* \omega dt. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $[\phi_1^* \omega] - [\phi_0^* \omega] = 0$ in de Rham cohomology as desired.

Solution Problem 2.3 Since $F(\theta, \phi) := (2 \cos \theta \sin \phi, 2 \sin \theta \sin \phi, 2 \cos \phi)$, The normal vector is given by setting $\nu = (\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi)$. Thus, abusing notation slightly,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta^2} \cdot \nu = 2(-\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, 0) \cdot (\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi) = -2 \sin^2 \phi, \\ L_{\theta\phi} &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial \phi} \cdot \nu = 2(-\sin \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \cos \phi, 0) \cdot (\cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi) = 0, \\ L_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \phi^2} \cdot \nu = 2(-\cos \theta \sin \phi, -\sin \theta \sin \phi, -\cos \phi) \cdot \nu = -2. \end{aligned}$$

We also compute

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} = 4(-\sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, 0) \cdot (-\sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, 0) = 4 \sin^2 \phi, \\ g_{\theta\phi} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} = 4(-\sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, 0) \cdot (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \cos \phi, -\sin \phi) = 0, \\ g_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} = 4(\cos \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \cos \phi, -\sin \phi) \cdot (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \cos \phi, -\sin \phi) = 4, \end{aligned}$$

We may now see that the scalar curvature is given by

$$K = \frac{L_{\theta\theta} L_{\phi\phi} - L_{\theta\phi}^2}{g_{\theta\theta} g_{\phi\phi} - g_{\theta\phi}^2} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

This works for $0 < \phi < \pi$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. And by continuity it also holds at the north and south poles.

Solution Problem 2.4 This is Hopf-Rinow's theorem:

Theorem 4. Let (M, g) be a connected Riemannian manifold. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) The geodesic distance function $d_g(P, Q) = \inf_{\gamma: \gamma(0)=P, \gamma(1)=Q} L(\gamma)$ on M makes M into a complete metric space.
- (2) There exists a point $P_0 \in M$ so that any geodesic thru P_0 extends for infinite time.

(3) *Every geodesic on M extends for infinite time*

There is an interesting corollary. If (M, g) satisfies any of the 3 equivalent conditions described above and if P and Q are arbitrary points of M , then there exists at least 1 geodesic σ from P to Q so that $L(\sigma) = d_g(P, q)$. The converse assertion is, however false. Consider the open unit interval $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Given any 2 points in $(0, 1)$, there exists a unique straight line between them. But this is not a complete metric space.

Solution Problem 2.5 If S^3 admits a metric of constant negative sectional curvature -1 , then the universal cover of S^3 is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . On the other hand, S^3 is simply connected. Thus this would imply that S^3 itself is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . Since S^3 is compact and \mathbb{R}^3 is not compact, this is not possible.

Solution Problem 2.6 Let σ be a unit speed geodesic in S^2 . Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a parallel frame for TS^2 along σ so that $e_1 = \dot{\sigma}$. Then $(a + bt)e_1$ is a Jacobi vector field along σ . Since S^2 has constant sectional curvature, $(c \cos t + d \sin t)e_2$ also is a Jacobi vector field along σ . In this particular instance take $e_2 = (0, 0, 1)$. We want to have $Y(0) = e_1$ and $Y(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 2e_1 + e_2$. Well, that says that $a = 1$, $b = 1$, $c = 0$, and $d = 1$. Substituting now yields $(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, modulo numerical mistakes.

Solution Problem 2.7 Let G be a matrix group. If $a, b \in T_I G$, let X_a^ℓ and X_b^ℓ be the associated left invariant vector fields. We showed

$$[X_a^\ell, X_b^\ell] = X_{[a, b]}^\ell.$$

Let $e_1(g) = g \cdot i$, $e_2(g) = g \cdot j$, and $e_3(g) = g \cdot k$ be the usual basis for the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on S^3 . Let e^i be the corresponding dual basis for the space of left invariant 1 forms. We showed

$$de^i(e_j, e_k) = e_j(e^i(e_k)) - e_k(e^i(e_j)) - e^i([e_j, e_k]) = -e^i([e_j, e_k]).$$

Applying this to the setting at hand then yields

$$de^1 = -2e^2 \wedge e^3, \quad de^2 = -2e^3 \wedge e^1, \quad \text{and} \quad de^3 = -2e^1 \wedge e^2.$$

Thus $d : \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}_\ell^*)$ is an isomorphism. It now follows

$$H_\ell^0(S^3) = [1] \cdot \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad H_\ell^3(S^3) = [e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3] \cdot \mathbb{R}$$

whilst $H_\ell^1(S^3) = 0$ and $H_\ell^2(S^3) = 0$. We also showed that the equivariant cohomology groups were isomorphic to the ordinary DeRham cohomology groups for compact connected Lie groups.

3. AN EXTRA TWO PROBLEMS

prob3.1

Problem 3.1. The Maurier-Cartan forms played an important role in our computation of $H_{DeR}^*(U(n))$.

- (1) Give a careful definition of the Maurier-Cartan form $\Theta_{2k-1} \in C^\infty(\Lambda^{2k-1}(U(n)))$.
- (2) Show Θ_{2k-1} is closed.

prob3.2

Problem 3.2. The Maurier-Cartan forms played an important role in our computation of $H_{DeR}^*(U(n))$. Show that for any k that there exists $n = n(k)$ and a map $f_k : S^{2k-1} \rightarrow U(n)$ so that $f_k^* \Theta_{2k-1} \neq 0$ in $H_{DeR}^{2k-1}(S^{2k-1})$.

Solution Problem 3.1 Let g be the identity map from $U(n)$ to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $g^{-1}dg$ is a matrix of left-invariant differential forms and $\Theta_{2k-1} := \text{Tr}\{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k-1}\}$. Since $d(g^{-1}) = -g^{-1}dg \cdot g^{-1}dg$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_{2k-1} &= \text{Tr}\{-(g^{-1}dg)^2(g^{-1}dg)^{2k-2} + g^{-1}dg\{(g^{-1}dg)^2\}(g^{-1}dg)^{2k-3} - \dots\} \\ &= -\text{Tr}\{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k}\} \end{aligned}$$

However we may now use the cyclic nature of the trace to compute $\text{Tr}(AB) = -\text{Tr}(BA)$ where A is a 1 form valued matrix and B is a $2k-1$ form valued matrix. Thus

$$-\text{Tr}\{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k}\} = \text{Tr}\{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k-1}(g^{-1}dg)\} = \text{Tr}\{(g^{-1}dg)^{2k}\}$$

which implies this vanishes as desired

Solution Problem 3.2 Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^{2k} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is linear with $f(x)^*f(x) = |x|^2 \text{id}$. Then f induces a map from S^{2k-1} to $U(n)$. Let $f(x) = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$. We then have $e_i^* e_j + e_j^* e_i = 2 \text{id}$. Choose any point $P \in S^{2k-1}$. Choose coordinates so $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $f(x)^{-1}df = e_1^*(e_2 dx_2 + \dots + e_{2n} dx_{2n})$. Let $\alpha_i = e_1^* e_i$. Then $\{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ are Clifford matrices with $\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = -2\delta_{ij}$. Thus $f^* \Theta_{2n-1} = (2n-1)! \text{Tr}(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{2n}) d\text{vol}$. On the other hand since $(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{2n})^2 = \pm \text{id}$, we have $\varepsilon(P) := \text{Tr}(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{2n})$ belongs to \mathbb{N} or to $\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{N}$. Thus in particular it takes discrete values and must be independent of P . Thus we need only construct an example where $\varepsilon(P_0) \neq 0$ for some point P_0 of S^{2n-1} . We reverse the process. Let $\{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n}\}$ be Clifford matrices with $\text{Tr}(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{2n}) \neq 0$. Set $f(x) = x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_{2n} x_{2n}$.