

Analysis Qualifying Exam Winter 2002  
(with solutions)

1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measurable function on a measure space  $(X, \mu)$ , with  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . Prove that  $f \in L^1(X)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \mu\{x \in X | f(x) \geq 2^n\} < \infty.$$

*Solution:*

Let  $F_n = \{x \in X | f(x) \geq 2^n\}$ . Consider disjoint sets

$$E_k = \{x \in X | 2^{k-1} \leq f(x) < 2^k\} \text{ if } k \geq 1 \text{ and } E_0 = \{x \in X | f(x) < 1\}.$$

Then, since

$$F_n = \prod_{k > n} E_k \text{ and } X = \prod_{k \geq 0} E_k,$$

we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{k-1} \chi_{E_k} \leq f \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^k \chi_{E_k}$$

or  $g/2 \leq f \leq g$  where  $g = \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^k \chi_{E_k}$ . The sets  $E_k$  are measurable because  $f$  is measurable, hence  $g$  is measurable. Since both  $f$  and  $g$  are non-negative,  $f$  is in  $L^1$  if and only if  $g$  is. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \mu\{x \in X | f(x) \geq 2^n\} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \mu(F_n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \sum_{k \geq n+1} \mu(E_k) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu(E_k) \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} 2^n = \sum_{k \geq 1} (2^k - 1) \mu(E_k) = \int_X g d\mu - \mu(E_0) - \mu(X). \end{aligned}$$

2. Find each limit and justify your answers. Quote all the theorems you are using to manipulate the limits and integrals and verify their applicability.

(a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^n e^{\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{x-x}} dx.$

(b)  $\int_0^\infty \int_y^\infty e^{-x^2} dx dy.$

*Solution:*

(a) First, notice that the sequence of functions  $f_n(x) = e^{\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{x-x}} \chi_{[\frac{1}{n}, n]}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  converges pointwise to  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = e^{-x}$ . Since each  $f_n$  is piece-wise continuous, hence measurable, and  $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and  $f \in L^1$ , the Dominated Convergence Theorem applies, giving

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^n e^{\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{x-x}} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(x) dx = \int_0^\infty f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx = 1.$$



■

(b) The function  $f(x, y) = e^{-x^2}$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , hence measurable. Further let

$$E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 0 \leq y \leq x\}.$$

Then  $E$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , hence measurable. It follows that  $g = \chi_E f$  is measurable on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . The integral to be evaluated can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x, y) dx dy.$$

Since  $g$  is nonnegative and Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we can apply the version of Fubini's Theorem for positive measurable functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x, y) dx dy &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x, y) dy dx = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^x \exp(-x^2) dy dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} x \exp(-x^2) dx = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(the last integral is evaluated using an obvious substitution). ■

3. (a) State the Radon-Nikodym theorem.

(b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Denote by  $M(X)$  the space of all complex measures defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $E \subset M(X)$  be the set of all measures in  $M(X)$  which are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Prove that  $E$  is a closed subspace of  $M(X)$ .

*Solution:*

(a) Let  $\mu$  be a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  on a set  $X$ , and let  $\nu$  be a complex measure on  $X$ . Then there exists a unique pair of complex measures  $\nu_a$  and  $\nu_s$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s, \quad \nu_a \ll \mu, \quad \nu_s \perp \mu.$$

(b) The proof that  $E$  is a vector subspace is easy, and is omitted. Let us show that  $E$  is closed. Let  $(\nu_n)$  be a sequence in  $E$  and suppose  $\|\nu_n - \nu\| \rightarrow 0$ . For  $B \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$|\nu_n(B) - \nu(B)| \leq |\nu_n(B) - \nu(B)| + |\nu_n(X \setminus B) - \nu(X \setminus B)| \leq \|\nu_n - \nu\|.$$

Therefore  $\nu_n(B) \rightarrow \nu(B)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $N \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfy  $\mu(N) = 0$ . Then

$$\nu(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

This shows that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , as desired.

■

4. Let  $p, q, r$  be real numbers in  $[1, \infty)$  satisfying  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q$ . Prove that for functions  $f \in L^p$  and  $g \in L^q$  their product  $fg$  belongs to  $L^r$  and that the multiplication operator

$$M_f : L^q \rightarrow L^r, \quad M_f(g) = fg,$$

is a bounded operator with norm  $\|M_f\| = \|f\|_p$ .



*Solution:*

Since  $\frac{r}{p} + \frac{r}{q} = 1$  we have by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|fg\|_r &= \left( \int |fg|^r \right)^{1/r} = \left( \int |f^r| |g^r| \right)^{1/r} \leq (\|f^r\|_{p/r} \|g^r\|_{q/r})^{1/r} \\ &= \left( \left( \int |f^r|^{p/r} \right)^{r/p} \left( \int |g^r|^{q/r} \right)^{r/q} \right)^{1/r} = \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $fg \in L^r$ . Also we have  $\|M_f(g)\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$  which means that  $M_f$  is bounded and  $\|M_f\| \leq \|f\|_p$ .

To prove the lower bound for  $\|M_f\|$ , notice that  $h = f^{p/q}$  is in  $L^q$  with

$$\|h\|_q = \left( \int |f^{p/q}|^q \right)^{1/q} = \left( \int |f|^p \right)^{1/q} = \|f\|_p^{p/q}$$

and

$$\|M_f(h)\|_r = \|f^{1+p/q}\|_r = \left( \int |f|^{r(1+p/q)} \right)^{1/r} = \left( \int |f|^p \right)^{1/r} = \|f\|_p^{p/r}.$$

This gives the desired lower bound for  $\|M_f\|$ :

$$\|M_f\| \geq \|M_f(h)\|_r / \|h\|_q = \|f\|_p^{p/r - p/q} = \|f\|_p,$$

since  $p(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q}) = 1$ . ■

5. Let  $V$  be a Banach space, and let  $(\phi_n)$  be a sequence of continuous linear functionals on  $V$ , such that the limit

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x)$$

exists for all  $x \in V$ .

Prove that  $\phi$  is a continuous linear functional on  $V$ .

*Solution:*

Linearity of  $\phi$  is clear:

$$\phi(ax + by) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(ax + by) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a\phi_n(x) + b\phi_n(y)) = a\phi(x) + b\phi(y).$$

For linear functionals on a normed space continuity is equivalent to boundedness, therefore  $\phi_n$  is bounded.

To prove that  $\phi$  is bounded we use the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem.

Let  $x \in V$ . Since  $\phi_n(x) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ , the set  $\{\phi_n(x) | n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is bounded. Since  $V$  is complete and the  $\phi_n$  are bounded, the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem implies that  $M = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \|\phi_n\| < \infty$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in V$ ,

$$|\phi(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n(x)| \leq M\|x\|.$$

This shows that  $\|\phi\| \leq M$ , so that  $\phi$  is bounded. ■

6. (a) Give the definition of a Hilbert space.



- (b) Let  $F$  and  $H$  be Hilbert spaces, with scalar products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$  respectively. Define a scalar product on the vector space direct sum  $F \oplus H$  by

$$\langle (\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2) \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_1 + \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle_2.$$

Prove that this makes  $F \oplus H$  a Hilbert space. (Be sure to prove completeness!)

*Solution:* (a) A Hilbert space is a complex vector space  $H$  equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\langle \eta, \xi \rangle = \overline{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle}$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ .
- (2)  $\langle \xi_1 + \xi_2, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi_2, \eta \rangle$  for all  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta \in H$ .
- (3)  $\langle \alpha \xi, \eta \rangle = \alpha \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ .
- (4)  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $\xi \in H$ .
- (5)  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$  only if  $\xi = 0$ .
- (6)  $H$  is complete in the norm defined by  $\|\xi\| = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}$ .

(b) The verification of the axioms (1) through (4) above for the scalar product on  $F \oplus H$  is completely routine, and is omitted from the solutions. For (5), assume that

$$\langle (\xi, \eta), (\xi, \eta) \rangle = 0.$$

This means that

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 + \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_2 = 0.$$

Since

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_2 \geq 0,$$

this implies that

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 = \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_2 = 0.$$

So  $(\xi, \eta) = 0$ .

It remains to prove (6) (completeness).

Let  $(\xi_1, \eta_1), (\xi_2, \eta_2), \dots$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $F \oplus H$ . We observe the inequality, for any  $\xi \in F$  and  $\eta \in H$ ,

$$\|(\xi, \eta)\| = \left( \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_1 + \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \max(\|\xi\|, \|\eta\|).$$

It follows from this inequality that the sequences  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  and  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots$  are Cauchy in  $F$  and  $H$  respectively. Therefore they have limits  $\xi \in F$  and  $\eta \in H$ . We prove that  $\|(\xi, \eta) - (\xi_n, \eta_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Using the formula for the norm and the scalar product, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\xi, \eta) - (\xi_n, \eta_n)\|^2 &= \|(\xi - \xi_n, \eta - \eta_n)\|^2 \\ &= \langle \xi - \xi_n, \xi - \xi_n \rangle_1 + \langle \eta - \eta_n, \eta - \eta_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \|\xi - \xi_n\|^2 + \|\eta - \eta_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\xi - \xi_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta - \eta_n\| \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that  $\|(\xi, \eta) - (\xi_n, \eta_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ . ■



7. Describe all entire functions  $f : \mathbf{C} \mapsto \mathbf{C}$  for which there exist constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$|f(z)| \leq a|z|^{5/2} + b \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

*Solution:*

By Cauchy's integral formula for derivatives,

$$f^{(k)}(0) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz, \quad R > 0,$$

we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k)}(0)| &\leq \frac{k!}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|^{k+1}} |dz| \\ &\leq \frac{k!}{2\pi R^{k+1}} \int_{|z|=R} (a|z|^{5/2} + b) |dz| \\ &= \frac{k!(aR^{5/2} + b)}{R^k} \end{aligned}$$

If  $k \geq 3$  then the right hand side of the above estimate goes to zero. By Taylor expansion,  $f(z) = f(0) + f'(0)z + f''(0)z^2/2$ . So that  $f$  is a polynomial of degree at most 2.

■

8. Evaluate the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{x^4 + 1} dx.$$

*Solution:*

Consider the function  $f(z) = (e^{iz})/(z^4 + 1)$  and integral of  $f$  over the contour  $\Gamma_R$   $R > 0$ , which consists of 2 parts: the segments of real line from  $-R$  to  $R$  and the upper half circle  $\Gamma_R^+(t) = Re^{it}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ . We have

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_R^+} \frac{e^{iz}}{z^4 + 1} dz \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma_R^+} \frac{|e^{iz}|}{R^4 + 1} |dz| \leq \frac{\pi R}{R^4 + 1}$$

which goes to zero as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{x^4 + 1} dx &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \Re \left( \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{e^{iz}}{z^4 + 1} dz \right) \\ &= \Re \left( 2\pi i \operatorname{Res} \left( \frac{e^{iz}}{z^4 + 1}, e^{\pi i/4} \right) + 2\pi i \operatorname{Res} \left( \frac{e^{iz}}{z^4 + 1}, e^{3\pi i/4} \right) \right) \\ &= -2\pi \Im \left( \frac{e^{iz}}{4z^3} \Big|_{z=e^{i\pi/4}} + \frac{e^{iz}}{4z^3} \Big|_{z=e^{3\pi i/4}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-1/\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \sin \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

■



9. Let  $f$  be a continuous complex valued function on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , and define function  $F$  by

$$F(z) = \int_0^1 f(t)e^{tz} dt, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Prove that  $F$  is analytic in the entire complex plane.

*Solution:*

**Solution 1** The function  $f(t)e^{tz}$  is a continuous function of the variable  $(t, z) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbf{C}$ . If  $R \subset \mathbf{C}$  is a rectangle, then by Fubini's theorem and the analyticity of  $e^{tz}$  with respect to  $z$ ,

$$\int_R F(z) dz = \int_R \int_0^1 f(t)e^{tz} dt dz = \int_0^1 \int_R f(t)e^{tz} dz dt = 0.$$

By Morera's theorem,  $F$  is analytic.

**Solution 2** Let  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ . Since  $f(t)$  is bounded, the series

$$f(t)e^{tz} = f(t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n z^n}{n!}$$

converges uniformly for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , so that we can change the order of summation and integration to get

$$F(z) = \int_0^1 f(t)e^{zt} dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 f(t)t^n dt \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 f(t)t^n dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt,$$

the radius of convergence of the series of  $F$  is  $\infty$ .

■